



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/803,167	03/16/2004	Robert N. Nazzari	12221-033001	4158
87555 7590 05/14/2009 Riverbed Technology Inc. - PVF c/o Park, Vaughan & Fleming LLP 2820 Fifth Street Davis, CA 95618				
EXAMINER				
COLIN, CARL G				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2433				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
05/14/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/803,167

Applicant(s)

NAZZAL, ROBERT N.

Examiner

CARL COLIN

Art Unit

2433

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) CARL COLIN.(3) Armand Prieditis.(2) Shun Yao.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 12 May 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 8.

Identification of prior art discussed: Porras 2004/0010718.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's Representative, Shun Yao, discussed the invention and how the proposed amendments distinguished from the prior art, Porras. One of the key differences as mentioned by Shun Yao is determining whether the host is providing or using a new service. Examiner pointed out the 101 issues with respect to amended claim 1. Claim 1 will be further amended to recite a system claim and to include the alert claim limitation as proposed in claim 8.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Carl Colin/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2433